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ABSTRACT: The nanostructure gradient along the fiber radius inside polymer strands is uncovered by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) microbeam-scanning experiments and X-ray scattering fiber computer-tomography (XSF-CT) analysis. We notice restricted visibility of scattering features within the series of patterns. The reason is violation of local fiber symmetry (LFS) in the irradiated volume elements (voxels). For its theoretical treatment a set of elementary topologies (tangential grain, radial grain) is introduced. Systematic aberrations (ultra-reconstruction, infra-reconstruction) generated by tomographic reconstruction of affected series are described. A concept for handling and utilization of these aberrations for nanostructure analysis is devised. Precursors of polymer microfibrillar-reinforced composites (MFC) containing poly(ether)-block-aine (PEBA) and poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) with varying cold-draw ratio are studied. We compare results from a direct analysis of the smeared measured patterns to results obtained after tomographic reconstruction and sound the power of reconstruction methods. Ideas for advanced practical applications of the XSF-CT method are discussed.

1 Introduction

In polymer engineering parts with cylindrical symmetry are frequently made. These are not only fibers, but also extruded strands that often are post-processed by exposure to mechanical and thermal load. Based on co-extrudates from various polymers and nanoparticles, great efforts are made to control the nanostructure by smart processing, aiming at materials with tailored properties. One class of such materials are the microfibrillar-reinforced composites¹⁻⁵ (MFC). MFC are blends from two immiscible polymers with controlled morphology. One component forms the matrix, in which reinforcing fibrils of the other component are distributed. Their manufacturing includes three processing steps: (1) melt blending of the starting neat polymers and extrusion, (2) cold drawing of the blend, and (3) subsequent annealing of the drawn blend at constant strain and at \( T_{m1} < T < T_{m2} \), where \( T_{m1} \) is the melting temperature of the lower melting component and \( T_{m2} \) is that of the higher melting one. By controlled processing the diameter of the fibrils, their length and alignment as well as their adhesion to the matrix can be controlled. In such a way, different composites can be produced from one and the same polymer blend aiming at improvement of the tensile and flexural properties of the matrix material.

Spatially-resolved X-ray scattering studies of fibers and strands are quite common, because a single scan returns all the data that can be accessed for an investigation of their radial structure gradient.⁶⁻²⁰ In this process the fiber is irradiated in the direction perpendicular to the fiber axis by a fine X-ray beam that is step-wise translated across the fiber. The result is a series of projected scattering patterns, which vary as a function of the scan position \( x \) on the fiber diameter. In general, this variation is interpreted and qualitatively related to structure variation along the fiber radius, \( \rho_f \). The question, which range of scattering angles is covered by the pattern is in principle irrelevant. Though we are operating small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns, the effects considered here can be applied to the range of the ultra-small-angle X-ray scattering (USAXS) without loss of generality and to the range of the middle-angle X-ray scattering (MATS) in good approximation. For the range or wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) the geometric relations are more complex, because the two-dimensional detector is probing the surface of the Ewald sphere, which there is considerably bent.

In previous work²¹ we have established a method for the desmearing of sequences of scattering patterns recorded in microbeam scans. It is based on one-dimensional tomography.²²,²³ In doing so we have assumed that even the scattering from every local volume element (“voxel”) \( V (\rho_f) \) exhibits fiber symmetry. The size of the voxel is defined by the size of the microbeam, and \( \rho_f \) is the distance of the voxel from the fiber axis. The assumption does not hold, in general. This fact is established in the analysis of the scattering patterns. As a consequence, the visibility of structural features in the scattering patterns is confined or shifted to a zone of the fiber from which they do not originate. In a methodical discussion we demonstrate how to benefit from the non-ideal character in order to gain extra information on the nanostructure of cylindrical samples.

It is an aim of this feasibility study to extract quantitative information on structure gradients both from the raw patterns (in projection space) and from reconstructed patterns (in image space), to compare them, and to assess the significance of simple methods of parameter extraction. Among these methodical aims we are trying to answer some questions related to application. Thus, additional studies of the neat components are carried out in order to ease the assignment of features in the patterns of the MFC (E.g.: Is there scattering of semicrystalline poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) or...? We are aiming to identify the scattering effect of the microfibrillar PET component. After tomographic reconstruction we expect to be able to assign superimposed features of the scattering patterns (point diagrams, rings, streaks) to distinct zones in the fiber cross section, and to study their varia-
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tion along the fiber radius.

Figure 1: A fiber is scanned by an X-ray microbeam (left). The beam integrates structure from various annular zones in the fiber. Perfect tomographic reconstruction returns the scattering that emanates from single voxels (right: squares), $V(\rho_f)$, on the fiber radius, $\rho_f$.

2 Theoretical: Visibility of structure in X-ray-scattering microbeam-scanning experiments of fibers

2.1 Overview

Figure 1 sketches X-ray microbeam-scanning of a polymer fiber and the target of tomographic reconstruction, namely the reduction of the integrated structure information swept out by the beam to the inherent information of single voxels. We assume that the structure does not change in annular zones around the fiber axis. In this case, rotation of the fiber about its axis does not change the measured data. A single scan is collecting all the accessible information for a structure analysis with spatial resolution.

For fibers, even the tomographic reconstruction technique is considerably simplified, resulting in one-dimensional tomography.\textsuperscript{22} In principle, this technique is nothing but onion peeling.\textsuperscript{21,24} The border pattern from the microbeam scan is only containing information on the outer shell-zone of the fiber and may be peeled off. This principle is readily iterated. The related integral transform has been deduced in 1826 by Niels Abel.\textsuperscript{25}

Perfect reconstruction is possible, if a scalar quantity (e.g. the absorption) is recorded at each scan position. Utilizing the inverse Abel transform\textsuperscript{25–27} fast, low-noise algorithms\textsuperscript{21–23} are made available. They reconstruct the absorption $A(\rho_f)$ existing in image space $\rho_f$ (along the fiber radius) from the measured projected absorption $\{I\}(s)$ in projection space $s$.

In our case, data of higher dimensionality are collected at every scan position $s$ outside the fiber. Even if a two-dimensional scattering pattern, $\{I\}(s,x)$, is collected, some of us\textsuperscript{21} have proposed to utilize the inverse Abel-Transformation

$$I(s,\rho_f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\rho_f}^{\infty} \frac{d\{I\}(s,r)}{dr} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r^2 - \rho_f^2}}$$

in order to reconstruct a desmeared scattering pattern along the fiber radius $\rho_f$ tomographically (X-ray scattering fiber computer-tomography (XSF-CT)). However, in this case perfect reconstruction is only possible, if the local structure of each voxel $V(\rho_f)$ generates a scattering pattern $I(s) = I(s_{12},s_3)$ with fiber symmetry. Here $s$ is the scattering vector. Its modulus is defined as $s = (2/\lambda) \sin \theta$. $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the X-rays and $2\theta$ is the scattering angle.

Anticipating the following discussion, deviations from local fiber symmetry (LFS) cause striking effects in scattering patterns from scanning-microbeam experiments. This means that an expectation expressed in an earlier paper\textsuperscript{28} is not justified, namely “that fiber symmetry should have been imprinted on the contents of every voxel by averaging, because in tomography the sample is rotated about an axis – in analogy to the classical rotation-crystal method”.

Deviations from LFS cause restricted or shifted visibility of scattering features along the fiber radius, thus causing aberration in the tomographic reconstruction. In more detail, structural entities with tangential grain are only visible in the central scattering pattern (as long as the step width is not less than the integral width of the microbeam), regardless of the zone in which they are placed. XSF-CT is accumulating their structure additionally in the central voxel of the image (infra-reconstruction). Thus, there are special rules for the interpretation of the central scattering pattern.

If structural entities in a fiber zone are carrying radial grain (i.e. annular character), their visibility is considerably restricted. As a result, the XSF-CT is wrongly peeling-off their scattering effect from all the inward zones. We call this effect ultra-reconstruction. Aberrations caused from ultra-reconstruction can be eliminated by removing the generating scattering feature from the pattern in which it becomes visible.

2.2 General visibility of various scattering features

The orientation distribution of structural entities is controlling their visibility in scanning-microbeam experiments of fibers. Perfectly reconstructable are isotropic features and features with fiber symmetry parallel to the fiber axis (axial grain). They exhibit the same scattering effect in every pattern along the fiber scan.

Visible are structural entities whose scattering pattern is in the detector plane. All the layer-shaped patterns of rodlike entities (e.g. needle-shaped voids, microfibrils or ensembles of such domains) are generally visible (because two planes in space generally share a common line). We assume that visibility variation of rodlike entities may be disregarded in many technical polymer materials.

Frequently invisible are the streak-shaped scattering patterns generated by extended layers. The reason is that the streak scattering is, in general, not in the detector plane. Nevertheless, there is at least one pattern from a fiber-scanning microbeam-experiment in which the streak flares up. Strong streak scattering may even be caused from sparse and uncorrelated layers in the voxel – if the layers are voids. Let us call such entities shingle voids. As layers are arranged on top of each other, they cross each voxel like wood grain. In analogy to the orientation of a solitary layer, now the orientation of the grain is controlling visibility and reconstructibility in XSF-CT.
2.3 Axial grain and perfect reconstruction

Layer stacks or tapered microfibrils in fibers are frequently oriented in such a way that the density variation is strongest in the direction of the macroscopic fiber axis. This is the case which reconstructs perfectly in XSF-CT. Figure 2 sketches the ideal case in conjunction with the most frequently observed aberration. A ring-shaped zone of the fiber is shown. As the microbeam is translated across the fiber, it provokes the same scattering effect in every voxel from the considered zone, in principle. Thus, tomographic reconstruction of the lamellae structure is perfect.

On the other hand, the shingle voids from this zone become only visible, when the microbeam is grazing the zone (as sketched in Fig. 2). Only in this case the streak scattering of the shingles is in the detector plane.

2.4 Radial grain and ultra-reconstruction

The argument just brought forward for a solitary shingle also holds for a stack of layers with its normal pointing in radial direction. Again, it is only detected by the grazing microbeam (Fig. 3a). Because XSF-CT assumes visibility of the corresponding scattering pattern in the complete ring, tomographic imaging results in ultra-reconstruction. Thus, already invisible features are compensated a second time. This over-reconstruction is affecting inward zones of the tomogram, where the scattering intensity frequently even becomes negative – similar to the known effect of over-desmearing that is probed in blind deconvolution.\textsuperscript{29-31} If radial grain is additionally tilted with respect to the fiber direction (Fig. 3b), it is readily detected while watching the microbeam experiment: From one image to the next a sudden tilt of the equator “plane” is observed, or the new pattern is showing a superposition of two patterns with differing equatorial “plane”.

Whenever the intensity depression of ultra-reconstruction is detected in a zone $\rho'_{f}$, the corresponding scattering feature is located in the neighborhood at $\rho_{f} > \rho'_{f}$. The shape of the depression indicates the causing scattering entity: A streak is indicating shingle voids, a two-point diagram is indicating stacks of lamellae. In our first paper on SAXS tomography\textsuperscript{28} we had been puzzled by such depressions, which now are explained by stacks of tilted lamellae.

There are several possibilities to remove the perturbation caused by ultra-reconstruction. If the scattering from the outermost shell zone is only caused by radial grain, the corresponding scattering pattern is simply removed from the set of data. Thus, disturbance of the inner zones is avoided. If the aberration is resulting in only a diffuse depression, it may be corrected by spatial frequency filtering.\textsuperscript{28} Slight indentations restricted to a narrow central area in the patterns may be removed by cut-and-extrapolate. If the scattering effect of the disturbance is not diffuse, it can be interpreted and separated. The extracted negative pattern can be adjusted to compensate the effect in the causing zone.

2.5 Tangential grain and infra-reconstruction

If a zone of a fiber contains structural entities with tangential density variation (Fig. 3c), it is only visible when the microbeam hits the axis of the fiber. Thus, the measured central scattering pattern has always accumulated all the corresponding features. Consequently, even the reconstructed XSF-CT pattern is rarely presenting the structure from only the fiber center.

The intermediate state between tangential and radial grain is the turned grain presented in Fig. 3d. Such structural entities are not mapped on the center, but are shifted towards the center and appear in a different zone. In all the zones lying even more inward, turned grain will cause ultra-reconstruction as XSF-CT is applied.

3 Experimental

3.1 Materials

The studied samples are the starting components and the precursor materials from laboratory-scale production of microfibrillar-
reinforced composites made from poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) and a poly(ether)-block-amide (PEBA). The PET grade is a commercial product (“Laser® C B95A” by DuPont Inc., USA) with a melting temperature $T_m = 236^\circ$C. The PEBA is a commercial product (“PEBAX® 7233” by Arkema Inc., France), $T_m = 158^\circ$C. The reported melting points have been determined by differential scanning calorimetry of the sample MFC (cf. Table 1) as the minimum endotherm values (cf. Fig. 4).

All samples are extruded cylindrical strands of diameters between 1 and 2 mm that have been prepared as follows. The blend has been pre-dried (at 100$^\circ$C for 48 h). Extrusion has been carried out on a single-screw extruder BX-18 (Axon AB, Sweden) with the following temperature profile: zone 1 (next to the hopper): 250$^\circ$C, zone 2 and 3: 260$^\circ$C, zone 4: 270$^\circ$C, die 1: 250$^\circ$C, die 2: 238$^\circ$C. Diameter of the die: 1 mm. Screw rotation speed: 36 rpm. After extrusion some of the samples have been cold-drawn (at 60$^\circ$C) to different draw ratios $\lambda_d = \ell/\ell_0$ with $\ell_0$ the original length of the strand after extrusion, and $\ell$ the length of the sample after cold drawing (cf. Table 1). None of the samples has been subjected to the final thermal treatment step, which is typical for the production of an MFC with isotropic matrix phase. In other words, the studied samples represent components or precursors (drawn blend) for manufacturing of MFC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda_d$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEBA</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Studied samples of varying cold-draw ratio $\lambda_d$ and composition. MFC is made by co-extrusion of 70 wt.-% PEBA and 30 wt.-% PET

![Image](image-url)  

Figure 4: Differential-scanning-calorimetry melting-curve of sample MFC ($\lambda_d \approx 7$) (i.e. the composite PEBA/PET 70/30 (by wt.)). (Instrument: DSC Q1000, TA Instruments Inc.)

## 3.2 Setup

Scanning-microbeam SAXS experiments are carried out at HASYLAB, Hamburg, beamline BW4. The incident primary beam (wavelength $\lambda = 0.13$ nm) is focused by means of a stack of Be-lenses, yielding a beam cross-section at the sample of 40 $\mu$m integral width and 39 $\mu$m height as measured by a knife edge.

The strands are linearly scanned through the beam with a step size of 50 $\mu$m. The distance between sample and detector is 1910 mm. Each scattering pattern is exposed for 40 s using a 2D marced 165 detector (mar research, Norderstedt, Germany). A low-noise machine background pattern is exposed for 3 min. The absorption of the primary beam is measured by monitoring the beam intensity before and after the sample.

A simple check for fiber symmetry has been carried out by performing a second scan after rotating the fiber by $90^\circ$ about its axis and comparing the results. In all cases the pairs of scans are identical.

### Pre-evaluation

The measured machine background pattern is subtracted from each microbeam-scan pattern after weighting by the measured absorption factor. No normalization to constant sample thickness is performed. Further pre-evaluation steps follow the standard method. The images are centered and aligned, some blind spots can be filled from symmetry consideration. The remnant hole in the center is filled by extrapolation. Data from a quadratic area ($0.2$ nm$^{-2}$) are kept for further evaluation because outside of this area there is no relevant scattering.

For tomographic image reconstruction the center of the fiber is determined and the images are interpolated accordingly. Thus, the first image in the set of patterns becomes the pattern related to a central irradiation of the fiber. The XSF-CT reconstruction is accomplished by a matrix-vector multiplication. Thus, the reconstruction method is defined by the reconstruction matrix. We have applied two different reconstruction methods, which differ by the amount of noise that they return as a function of the noise in the input data. The two-point Abel inversion after Dasch appears more appropriate for the reconstruction of weak scattering patterns from microbeam scans. Stronger scattering patterns are more smoothly reconstructed by the BASEX$^{23}$ method.

## 4 Results and Discussion

### 4.1 PET $\lambda_d = 1$

The PET precursor material (as extruded, i.e. $\lambda_d = 1$) is a strand of 1.8 mm diameter. The SAXS pattern recorded with a macrobeam of 3 mm width exhibits diffuse, isotropic scattering and a weak but sharp equatorial streak. Thus, the material appears amorphous with some voids. The voids appear to be highly oriented in the fiber direction. A lower limit of the void height may be estimated from the height of the equatorial streak. From the length of the streak one would probably estimate a void diameter. Thus, one would implicitly assume that the voids exhibit the shape of needles.

In the scanning microbeam (Fig. 5) the PET exhibits a striking sequence of scattering patterns: Only in a thin outer shell the sharp equatorial streak is observed, although it should be visible in all scattering patterns under the premise of LFS. The experiment demonstrates that here the condition of LFS is not fulfilled. Subjected to XSF-CT reconstruction, a negative image of the streak shows up in several inner zones. For the undrawn PET strand (Fig. 5) this effect is clearly detected between $\rho_f = 550$ $\mu$m and $\rho_f = 750$ $\mu$m. For shorter $\rho_f$ the fraction of the shell volume in the volume swept-out by the microbeam is so small that the aberration effect carries little weight.
Figure 5: SAXS patterns of a PET strand (as extruded, $\lambda_d = 1$) in a scanning microbeam experiment. Logarithmic intensity scale. The fiber direction $s_3$ is vertical. Transverse direction: $s_{12}$. All patterns display the range $-0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. Within each column the scale is kept constant. Left column: Measured patterns. The other columns show the reconstructed patterns after XSF-CT as a function of the distance $\rho_f$ from the axis of the strand for two different reconstruction methods.

If the observed equatorial “streak” were caused from needle-shaped voids, it would be visible throughout the scan because the scattering of oriented needles exhibits LFS. Consequently, the scattering entities cannot be needles. The observed phenomenon is smoothly explained by assuming that the voids look like shingles with their normals oriented in radial fiber direction (cf. Fig. 2). In the course of data evaluation we have used the “equatorial streak” at the left side of the fiber scan to determine the principal axis of the scattering pattern. At the right side of the fiber scan we, again, observe a streak – but its direction is tilted by $10^\circ$ with respect to the streak observed at the opposite side. Figure 3b demonstrates, how this additional effect is explained by tilt of the shingle planes.

Comparison of the reconstructed pattern of the central voxel ($\rho_f = 0$) with its neighbors ($\rho_f > 0$) shows here and in most of the other microbeam scans completely different patterns. The severe distortion of the central pattern is readily explained by the assumption that some zones of the fiber contain structural entities with tangential grain (cf. Fig. 3c) that are mapped on the central voxel because of infra-reconstruction.

Figure 6: SAXS pattern of a neat PET strand cold-drawn to $\lambda_d \approx 7$ recorded in a microbeam experiment at all positions. Logarithmic intensity scale. The fiber direction $s_3$ is vertical. The pattern shows the range $-0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

4.2 PET $\lambda_d = 7$

After the PET strand has been cold-drawn to $\lambda_d = 7$, it shows a homogeneous structure in the microbeam scan. There is no strong equatorial streak. All the scan positions exhibit the same diffuse scattering (Fig. 6). However, as compared to the un-drawn material now the diffuse scattering has become slightly anisotropic: The contour lines are no more circles, but ellipses with an aspect ratio of 1.5. We do not observe a long period reflection of semicrystalline PET.

4.3 PEBA $\lambda_d = 1$

All strands made from neat PEBA are weak scatterers. The patterns exposed for 40 s have been smoothed considerably. Thus, they are insufficient for quantitative analysis. Figure 7 shows that even the as-extruded material is oriented. All patterns from the microbeam scan show a short equatorial streak and strong, arc-shaped reflections at the equator with a long period of 10 nm. Thus, the hard domains of the PEBA appear arranged preferentially transverse to the fiber direction. The tomographic analysis returns a more detailed view. Again, the central voxel suffers from strong infra-reconstruction. Up to $\rho_f = 300 \mu m$ there is no orientation. The isotropic long period is 10 nm. Here negative-intensity equatorial streaks indicate over-reconstruction. Thus, at least a fraction of the equatorial streak observed further out must be attributed to shingle-shaped voids in radial orientation. Utilizing a finer microbeam it would be possible to distinguish, if the isotropic and the anisotropic zones are separated by a shingle-void zone.
4.4 PEBA $\lambda_d = 7$

The weak scattering data of the 7fold drawn strand from neat PEBA (Fig. 8) suffice for a qualitative interpretation only. In order to record good patterns using the available setup, the exposure would have to be increased by a factor of 10. Comparison of the two reconstruction methods shows that here the two-point Abel-inversion returns less noise than the BASEX method. Due to the post-processing (smoothing of more noisy data) the peaks of the BASEX-reconstructed patterns appear weaker than those of the Abel-reconstructed patterns. We observe inversion of the reported\textsuperscript{21} advantage of the BASEX method, if the smeared measured data carry considerable noise.

Figure 7: SAXS patterns of a PEBA strand “as extruded” ($\lambda_d = 1$) (diameter: 0.9 mm) in a scanning-microbeam experiment. Logarithmic intensity scaling. The fiber direction $s_3$ is vertical. The patterns display the range $0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. The scaling is constant within a column. Left column: Measured scanning-microbeam patterns. Right column: XSF-CT reconstructed patterns as a function of the distance $\rho_f$ from the axis of the strand.

Figure 8: SAXS patterns of a cold-drawn ($\lambda_d \approx 7$) PEBA strand (diameter: 1 mm) in a scanning-microbeam experiment. Logarithmic intensity scaling. The fiber direction $s_3$ is vertical. The patterns display the range $-0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. The scaling is constant within a column. Left column: Measured scanning-microbeam patterns. Middle and right columns: XSF-CT reconstructed patterns (two different reconstruction methods) as a function of the distance $\rho_f$ from the axis of the strand.

In all recorded patterns from the microbeam scan we observe a 4-point pattern with its peak maxima always found at $(s_{12L}, s_{3L}) = (\pm 0.052 \text{ nm}^{-1}, \pm 0.093 \text{ nm}^{-1})$. The tomographic reconstruction exhibits that this feature is generated only in a shell zone of the strand, which is approximately 100 $\mu$m wide. The observed pattern can be explained by a macro lattice\textsuperscript{35} of narrow block stacks, in which the longitudinal distance between the hard-domain blocks is $1/s_{3L} = 11$ nm. These block stacks can be addressed as microfibrils, which are not homogeneous.
neat needles, but linear arrangements of alternating hard domains and soft domains, respectively. The transverse distance between these PEBA microfibrils is $1/s_{12L} = 19$ nm.

Figure 9: Cold-drawn ($\lambda_d \approx 3$) MFC in a scanning microbeam experiment. Measured scattering intensity $\{I\}$ ($s_{12}, s_3, \rho_f$) (left column) and reconstructed scattering $I(s_{12}, s_3, \rho_f)$ (right) for short distances $\rho_f$ from the fiber axis. The patterns display the range $-0.1 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ in uniform logarithmic scale.

A different explanation by a system of tilted lamellae stacks cannot be excluded completely, because the data are so noisy. If we choose the latter model for explanation, the stacks are tilted by $\pm 30^\circ$ with respect to the meridian and exhibit a long period of 9 nm. Nevertheless, in the core of the fiber we do not observe ultra-reconstruction in the shape of a 4-point diagram, which would prove the presence of tilted lamellae. An example of such ultra-reconstruction has first been observed by us with a polyethylene strand. Because stacks of tilted lamellae show grain, whereas macrolattices from block stacks do not, tilted lamellae may violate LFS and, thus, may cause under-reconstruction.

Admittedly, there were a small chance that the reported non-existence of scattering were a reconstruction artifact, if a very special nanostructure would exist. One would have to assume superposition of two scattering entities that match with regard to both their repeat units and their volume fractions as a function of $\rho_f$ and annihilate under XSF-CT reconstruction. A probable example were stacks of tilted lamellae that exhibit LFS plus a fraction that does not. For ultra-reconstruction to occur, the normals of the non-LFS lamellae stacks in every voxel must preferentially be tilted towards and away from the fiber axis in each voxel from the voxel ring.

The central voxel shows distinct infra-reconstruction caused by the accumulation of all structural entities with tangential grain. There is no void scattering. Ultra-reconstruction is weak and restricted to a narrow zone in the center of the reconstructed scattering patterns. We cannot exclude that this ultra-reconstruction and the visible differences between the two reconstructions are caused from the considerable noise in the original data.

4.5 MFC $\lambda_d = 3$

MFC is our abbreviation for the cold-drawn co-extrudate of 70 wt.-% PEBA and 30 wt.-% PET. The material presented in this section has been cold-drawn to $\lambda_d \approx 3$. Its scattering intensity is by a factor of 20 higher than that of neat PEBA. In the scanning-microbeam experiment the strand shows an isotropic long period and an equatorial streak at almost every beam position (Fig. 9, left column). Only the tomographically reconstructed patterns (right column) exhibit that the long-period ring-reflection is not existent in the core of the fiber. As the reflection becomes visible, it first shows up at the equator. With increasing distance from the fiber axis, reflection arcs are growing towards the meridian. Above $\rho_f = 300 \mu$m the arcs join into a closed circle. We have seen this behavior before with the neat PEBA (cf. Fig. 7). Thus, this phenomenon is not indicating some interaction between the PEBA and the PET microfibrils. On the other hand, with the MFC the isotropization is proceeding outward from the center, whereas this has been just opposite with the neat PEBA strand (Fig. 7). The reconstructed central voxel ($\rho_f = 0$) clearly exhibits infra-reconstruction. Ultra-reconstruction is not observed in this strand. Examination of the equatorial streak exhibits only in the reconstruction that it grows broader towards the center of the fiber. Because we do not observe ultra-reconstruction we allocate the streak to needle-shaped domains, which we have not seen with any of the strands made from neat polymers. If these needles are thin PET microfibrils, the tomography shows that in the center of the fiber these microfibrils are shorter than in the middle of the radius. The right column shows the reconstruction result of the BASEX algorithm. With this strongly scattering material the pseudo-color images of Abel and BASEX algorithm cannot be distinguished from each other. However, now the BASEX method returns the result with less noise.

Between $\rho_f = 350 \mu$m and $\rho_f = 700 \mu$m we do not observe a qualitative change in the patterns. Thus, we turn to the outer
the isotropic matrix phase of an ideal MFC.

Ining λ can easily be turned isotropic by annealing, in order to generate not change considerably. Probably this anisotropic shell is one observed. Thus the population density with block stacks does show that the PEBA phase has already become isotropic after lamellae, but stacks made of narrow blocks. We have called these are narrow in lateral direction. Thus, we do not observe stacks of fiber axis. The patterns display the range -0.1 nm −3. MFC in a scanning microbeam experiment. Measured scattering intensity {I} (s12, s3, ρf) (left column) and reconstructed scattering I (s12, s3, ρf) (right) for long distances ρf from the fiber axis. The patterns display the range -0.1 nm −1 ≤ s12, s3 ≤ 0.1 nm −1 in uniform logarithmic scale.

displays the corresponding variation of the scattering. At the fiber surface (ρf = 900 µm) the long-period reflection of the PEBA is highly oriented in fiber direction. Its considerable extension in lateral direction indicates that the scattering domains are narrow in lateral direction. Thus, we do not observe stacks of lamellae, but stacks made of narrow blocks. We have called these stacks PEBA-microfibrils with the neat material. As we track the orientation of the block stacks inward, the reconstructed patterns show that the PEBA phase has already become isotropic after 150 µm. A considerable change of the scattering power is not observed. Thus the population density with block stacks does not change considerably. Probably this anisotropic shell zone can easily be turned isotropic by annealing, in order to generate the isotropic matrix phase of an ideal MFC.

Close to the fiber surface the equatorial streak is rather broad.

The tomographic reconstruction reveals that it has turned slim already 50 µm below the surface. Ultra-reconstruction is not observed. Thus, the streak is associated with the scattering of highly oriented and long needle-shaped microfibrils. Only in the very thin outer shell zone the needles are shorter. Augmenting this observation with a similar finding from the central region of the strand (Fig. 9), we subsume that shorter microfibrils are found in the center and at the surface of the strand.

Figure 10: Cold-drawn (λd ≈ 3) MFC in a scanning microbeam experiment. Measured scattering intensity {I} (s12, s3, ρf) (left column) and reconstructed scattering I (s12, s3, ρf) (right) for long distances ρf from the fiber axis. The patterns display the range -0.1 nm −1 ≤ s12, s3 ≤ 0.1 nm −1 in uniform logarithmic scale.

Figure 11: MFC (λd ≈ 3) in the scanning microbeam experiment. Spatial variation of the long period L (ρf), as determined from the position of the ring maximum, ρf is the distance from the fiber axis. The dashed curve shows data determined from the measured data. The solid lines present the results obtained after tomographic reconstruction.

For a quantitative evaluation the isotropic long-period feature must be separated from the equatorial streak. For this purpose the superimposed scattering has been suppressed in an angular region of ±45° around the equator. By azimuthal averaging of the rest, the scattering of the isotropic long period feature, Ii (s), is retrieved. The long period is then determined by the position of the maximum sL using the relation L = 1/sL. Figure 11 shows the result. The value is slightly decreasing with increasing ρf and does not change upon tomographic reconstruction. Of course, in projection space (measured patterns) a ring reflection can even be evaluated when this feature is not present in image space.

After the equatorial streak has been associated to needle-shaped domains, we try to analyze it quantitatively. In the complete scattering pattern I (s12, s3) the isotropic feature and the streak are superimposed. Thus, the scattering of the streak

Ii (s) − I (s12, s3)

is separated from the isotropic scattering. From the length of the equatorial streak one may try to assess the diameter of the needle-shaped domains. For this purpose we have chosen a contour line at a height of 1% of the maximum intensity I (0) of the pattern. This choice is rather arbitrary, because I (0) is obtained by extrapolation into the blind area. Thus, the result is not only a function of the variation of the streak profile, but also of the variation of I (0). Moreover, if a contour at a different height level is chosen, the resulting value is shifted.

From the length 2s12n of the area enclosed by the chosen contour, a needle diameter dn = 1/s12n is estimated. Figure 12
shows the result. As expected, stronger modulations are obtained after tomographic reconstruction. In contrast to this simple estimation, an absolute method for the analysis of equatorial streaks from needles has earlier been proposed (16 and p. 166-170 in34). For its application the scattering \( I_R(s_{12}, s_3) \) of the streak is first projected
\[
\{I_R\}_{s_{12}} \approx \int I_R(s_{12}, s_3) \, ds_3
\]
on the cross section \( s_{12} \) of the fiber. This curve can be analyzed like a scattering curve from the Kratky camera. It describes size and arrangement of the needle cross-sections within the fiber cross-section. The absolute method36 is significant, if roughness of the needle surfaces remains low and if the X-ray detector is not blind in the angular region where most of the needles are scattering. In this case the initial value, \( g_2(0) \), of the 2D chord length distribution (CLD), \( g_2(r_{12}) \), is lower than the maximum of the curve. This premise is never fulfilled for the data of this study.

Figure 12: MFC (\( \lambda_d \approx 3 \)) in the scanning microbeam experiment. Spatial variation of a needle diameter, \( d_n(\rho_f) \), as estimated from the length of the equatorial streak, \( \rho_f \) is the distance from the fiber axis. The dashed curve shows data determined from the measured data. The solid lines present the results obtained after tomographic reconstruction

Figure 13: MFC (\( \lambda_d \approx 3 \)) in a scanning microbeam study. Analysis of the equatorial streaks from tomographically reconstructed scattering patterns. Chord length distribution (CLD) \( g_2(r_{12}) \) of the cross sections of needle-shaped domains at various positions \( \rho_f \) along the fiber radius

Figure 14: MFC (\( \lambda_d \approx 3 \)) in a scanning microbeam experiment. Evaluation of the equatorial streak scattering from reconstructed scattering patterns. Here: Nanostructure parameters extracted from the 2D chord length distribution \( g_2(r_{12}) \) of the needle cross-sections as a function of the position \( \rho_f \) along the fiber radius. \( \lambda_n/\lambda_V \) is the total needle cross-section per voxel cross-section. \( D_n \) number-average of the needle diameter distribution, and \( \sigma_n/D_n \) is the relative standard deviation of the needle diameter distribution

4.6 MFC \( \lambda_d = 7 \)

Figure 15 presents the scattering patterns of the MFC which is cold-drawn to \( \lambda_d \approx 7 \). Already the smeared recorded patterns exhibit that this material is much more homogeneous than the strand that is drawn to only \( \lambda_d \approx 3 \). The reconstruction differences between both applied methods are small. All along the fiber radius highly oriented layer-line reflections of the PEBA
material are found. Again, this feature is indicating highly orien-
ted block-stacks from alternating PEBA hard and soft domains
which are arranged in fiber direction. The layer lines are even
longer than those found with the 3fold-drawn material. Thus,
here the lateral extension of the hard-domain blocks is even nar-
rower than in the 3fold-drawn material.

Figure 15: SAXS scattering patterns of MFC ($\lambda_d = 7$).
The fiber direction $s_3$ is vertical. The images present the
range $0 \leq s_{12}, s_3 \leq 0.2$ nm$^{-1}$. The logarithmic
intensity scaling is kept constant in each column. Left:
Measured SAXS patterns. Middle and right column: To-
mographically reconstructed patterns (two different meth-
ods) as a function of the distance $\rho_f$ from the fiber axis

Close to the fiber axis ($0 \leq \rho_f \leq 100 \mu m$) in the recon-
structed patterns, we observe an indentation of the intensity at
the meridian. This indicates arrangement of neighboring block
stacks even in lateral direction. Further out the distances be-
tween neighboring block stacks are random. With this highly
drawn MFC ultra-reconstruction is very weak. It only results in
a slight indentation close to the center of the scattering pattern,
which has been eliminated by, again, cutting out a small circular
region and extrapolation. In the central voxel we see little infra-
reconstruction. Thus, the conditions for perfect XSF-CT are
almost fulfilled for this highly strained material: Every local voxel
emanates scattering that shows almost perfect fiber symmetry.

The position of the SAXS reflection on the meridian is al-
most constant. Nevertheless, because of the high orientation we
can employ a determination method that permits to determine
even small changes significantly. Instead of searching for the
peak maximum, we cut off the layer-line peak along the con-
tour through the saddle point towards the equatorial streak, and
determine its center of gravity, $s_g = \left( s_{12g}, s_{3g} \right)$ by in-
tegration. Figure 16 presents the variation of the long period
$L_3 = 1/s_{3g}$. The determination from the reconstructed patterns
shows that $L_3$ of the PEBA blocks is lowest in the center of the
fiber. After a slight increase it remains constant in the zones be-
tween 200 $\mu m$ and 350 $\mu m$, and increases again towards the fiber
surface. As expected, this trend appears less pronounced when
the smeared patterns are evaluated directly.

Figure 16: MFC ($\lambda_d = 7$) in a scanning microbeam ex-
periment. Long period, $L_3 (\rho_f)$, as determined from the
center of gravity of the layer-line reflection, as a function
of the distance $\rho_f$ from the fiber axis. The dashed curve
shows the result from projection space (measured data).
Solid lines present the results from image space (i.e. after
tomographic reconstruction by two different algorithms)

The existing saddle point between equatorial streak and layer
line, as well, establishes a possibility to estimate a diameter of the
PEBA blocks and of the PET microfibrils with less uncertainty
than with the microfibrils of the 3fold-drawn strand. For this pur-
pose we choose the lateral extensions of the contours through the
saddle point.

The length $2s_{12b}$ of the region enclosed by the contour of the layer line reflection yields a relative measure of a block diameter $d_b = 1/s_{12b}$. Figure 17 demonstrates the result. The evaluation of the reconstructed pattern shows that the block diameters are following the trend of the long period between the blocks: low block diameters are correlated to low distances between them. Of course, also in this XSF-CT experiment a diameter of the PET needles can be extracted from the shape of the equatorial streak. The low significance resulting from needle roughness has already been demonstrated with the 3fold-drawn MFC.

Figure 18: Demonstration of the desmearing effect by tomographic reconstruction on the nanostructure information as exhibited by the CDF $-z(r_{12}, r_3)$ (logarithmic scaling, $-75 \text{ nm} \leq r_{12}, r_3 \leq 75 \text{ nm}$). $r_3$ is the direction of drawing. Nanostructure of the MFC ($\lambda_d = 7$) at an transverse offset of $\rho_f = 50 \mu m$ from the fiber axis.

4.7 Visualization of nanostructure before and after tomographic pattern reconstruction

In an engineering polymer material not only the diameters of needle-shaped domains exhibit broad distributions. In general, domain shape and arrangement is subjected to considerable fluctuation. One possibility to demonstrate the influence of these distributions on scattering data without making assumptions is the computation and visualization of the multidimensional chord distribution (CDF).\cite{34,37} If, in a scanning-microbeam experiment, the measured pattern is integrating over many zones of a fiber with a structure gradient, the peaks in the CDF from the raw data must appear more blurred than those in a CDF computed from reconstructed patterns. Figure 18 shows a pair of corresponding CDFs. Obviously the effect is strongest, if the fiber is irradiated close to its axis where the raw pattern integrates over many zones without being disturbed from infra-reconstruction.

We inspect the negative face ($-z(r)$) of the CDF, because the interesting distance distributions related to the block stacks are buried in a deep valley running along the meridian of the CDF, which is generated by the PET microfibris in the MFC material. Comparing in Fig. 18 the projection-space CDF with the image-space CDF, the latter obviously shows narrower distance distribution peaks on the meridian $r_1$. A complete analysis of this structure requires a rather complex topological model that considers both components (needle-scattering of the PET and the scattering from the PEBA block stacks).

Even though a quantitative analysis of this complex nanostructure appears to be too elaborate, at least the structure gradient along the fiber radius can be visualized and qualitatively described by the sequence of its CDFs (Fig. 19). Only close to the center of the fiber the CDF shows several peaks that are not on the meridian. They describe the lateral correlation of hard domains that are forming the macrolattice, which has already been indicated in the corresponding scattering patterns (cf. Fig. 15). Moving outward on the fiber radius, we observe up to $\rho_f = 100 \mu m$ relatively broad domain peaks. Thus, close to the fiber axis the block heights are subjected to considerable fluctuation. Further out the block heights are rather uniform, but become broader again from $\rho_f = 350 \mu m$. The region of narrow block height distributions more or less coincides with the range in Fig. 16, in which the long period appears to be constant. Thus, we cannot exclude that a fraction of the observed broadening before and after this range is an artifact, which is generated from structure gradient within the local voxel (i.e. over a length of 40 $\mu m$). This shows that even in a tomographically reconstructed pattern from a scanning-microbeam experiment the effect of averaging over the volume irradiated by the microbeam should be born in mind.

Figure 19: MFC cold drawn ($\lambda_d = 7$). Qualitative demonstration of the nanostructure gradient along the fiber radius from the fiber center ($\rho_f = 0 \mu m$) to the fiber surface ($\rho_f = 550 \mu m$). The CDFs $-z(r_{12}, r_3)$ from the tomographically reconstructed scattering patterns are shown (linear scaling). $r_3$ is the direction of drawing.

5 Conclusions

In the course of this investigation we have expanded our fundamental understanding of X-ray scattering fiber computed-tomography. With this new\cite{21} method series of high-resolution X-ray scattering patterns originating from scanning-microbeam experiments can be desmeared within a few minutes. Violation of local fiber symmetry is not only affecting the result of XSF-CT, but in similar manner the result of general SAXS tomography. Thus, we now are able to trace back the negative reconstructed intensities from an earlier general scattering tomography study\cite{28,38} to the effect of ultra-reconstruction that has been deduced here. We have demonstrated how to make use of ultra-reconstruction. As a result, we now are able to discriminate between needle-shaped and shingle-shaped voids, if scattering data from a scanning-microbeam experiment are analyzed. In particular the as-extruded strand from neat PET exhibits many shingles, which are localized in the shell-zone of the strand. We have seen that the PET from our materials does not exhibit semicrystalline structure. Thus, it has only been possible to gather little information on the PET.

The neat PEBA always shows a long period of 10 $\text{nm}$. Along the fiber radius and as a function of draw ratio, different orien-
tations are observed. The as-extruded neat PEBA exhibits in its shell-zone a remarkable long-period orientation on the equator, which is recovered as well in the composite. Even here shingled voids are found in one of the outer zones. Further inward the material appears isotropic. In the shell-zones of the drawn PEBA the scattering of block stacks oriented in fiber direction is found. The core of the strand does not show any scattering.

In both composite samples we find the PEBA scattering and an equatorial streak which is attributed to needle-shaped domains, because it shows LFS. We assign this effect to PET microfibrils. Not only in the neat PEBA, but also in the composite that is drawn 3fold (MFC3) there is a core in which the PEBA does not exhibit scattering. However, this zone is thinner in the MFC3 than in the PEBA. The breadth of the equatorial streak is varying along the fiber radius. Thus, the microfibrils are long in general, but close to the fiber axis and in the shell zone there are more but shorter microfibrils. The fibrils exhibit considerable roughness. Thus, the significance of a shape analysis is low. We suppose that we have only caught a small fraction of the needled microfibrils. Not only in the neat PEBA, but also in the composite materials. Nevertheless, some minor questions that have already been mentioned in the discussion (narrow shingle zone; structure gradient within the voxel) can be answered by application of a finer microbeam.
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